

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/849,307	BURNS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Clifford H Knoll	2112

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Clifford H Knoll. (3) _____.

(2) Ram Soundararajan (#53832). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

30-45

Prior art documents discussed:

Leach

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MARK H. RINEHART

~~SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER~~

~~TELECOMMUNICATIONS CENTER 2100~~

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Feature not taught by prior art as argued by Applicant was persuasive. This feature was present in all independent claims, except it was found absent from claim 30. Agreement was reached to amend claim 30 with the feature as recited in claim 31, subsequently cancelling claim 31. Claims dependent on 31 to be amended to depend on 30. Amendments to be entered by Examiner's Amendment.